

MN

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,722	07/18/2003	Hidema Tanaka	43521-0700	2951	
21611 SNELL & WII	7590 07/17/2007 LMER LLP (OC)		. EXAM	INER	
600 ANTON BOULEVARD			OKORONKWO, CHINWENDU C		
SUITE 1400 COSTA MESA	A, CA 92626		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2136		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

c					
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/622,722	TANAKA ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Chinwendu C. Okoronkwo	2136		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING naions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by steply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATER 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABANE	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status		•			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>C</u>	02 May 2007.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.			
3))☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co The oath or declaration is objected to by the	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appl priority documents have been rec ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Stage		
	•		en e		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum			
3) Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application		

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. For the record, the Examiner acknowledges that no priority claim has been made in regards to this application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. For the record, the Examiner acknowledges that the IDS submitted on 01/29/2004 and 04/19/2004. It has been received and considered.

Oath/Declaration

For the record, the Examiner acknowledges that the Oath/Declaration submitted 3. on 01/29/2004 has been received and considered.

Drawings

4. For the record, the Examiner acknowledges that the Drawings submitted on 01/29/2004 have been received and considered.

Specification

5. For the record, the Examiner acknowledges that the Specification submitted on 01/29/2004 has been received and considered.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,722 Page 3

Art Unit: 2136

Response to Remarks/Arguments

6. In response to communications filed on 05/02/2007. The following claims, claims 1-4, are presented for examination.

6.1 Applicant's arguments, pages 12-16, with respect to the rejection of claims 1-4 have been fully considered and they are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Double Patenting

- 7. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of copending Application No. 10/762,654. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the untransformed text found in the instant application is analogous to the unstirred text of the copending one and both use such text to perform key prospect calculations.
- 7.1 "A later patent claim is not patentably distinct from an earlier patent claim if the later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, the earlier claim. In re Longi, 759 F.2d at 896, 225 USPQ at 651 (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting because the claims at issue were obvious over claims in four prior art patents); In re Berg, 140 F.3d at 1437, 46 USPQ2d at 1233 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (affirming a holding of obviousness-type double patenting where a patent application claim to a genus is anticipated by a patent claim to a species with that genus). "ELI LILLY AND COMPANY

Art Unit: 2136

v BARR LABORATORIES, INC., United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, ON PETITION FOR REHEARING EN BANC (DECIDED: May 30, 2001).

Page 4

7.2. "Claim 12 and Claim 13 are generic to the species of invention covered by claim 3 of the patent. Thus, the generic invention is "anticipated" by the species of the patented invention. Cf., Titanium Metals Corp. v. Banner, 778 F.2d 775, 227 USPQ 773 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (holding that an earlier species disclosure in the prior art defeats any generic claim) 4. This court's predecessor has held that, without a terminal disclaimer, the species claims preclude issuance of the generic claim. In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 944, 214 USPQ 761, 767 (CCPA 1982); Schneller, 397 F.2d at 354. Accordingly, absent a terminal disclaimer, claims 12 and 13 were properly rejected under the doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting." (In re Goodman (CA FC) 29 USPQ2d 2010 (12/3/1993)

Conclusion -

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chinwendu C. Okoronkwo whose telephone number is (571) 272 2662. The examiner can normally be reached on MWF 9:30 - 7:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571) 272 4195. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/622,722

Art Unit: 2136

Page 5

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

CCO

July 9, 2007

NASSER MOAZZAMI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

7,9,07